Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/266,922	KATO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	-	
Joseph R. Pokrzywa	2622		

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):
1) <u>Joseph R. Pokrzywa</u> .	(3) <u>Maryam Ipakchi</u> .
2) <u>Madeleine Nguyen</u> .	(4)
Date of Interview: 31 July 2002.	
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.
Claim(s) discussed:	
Identification of prior art discussed: Norlmats	5U (U.S. PAT. 5,615,248)
Agreement with respect to the claims fx was reached.	g) was not reached. h) N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the genera reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	
 i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a schecked). 	eparate record of the substance of the interview(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	/. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

scussed .	a proposed	amendment	which	would
errome to	he cited &	sion and		
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·